


CL = REC



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Stephen M. Nichols (SBN 101164) Anne Cherry Barnett (SBN 227209) McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP. 1 Market Plaza, Spear Tower, 24th Fl. SF CA 94105 TELEPHONE NO.: (415) 267-4000 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): abarnett@mckennalong.com ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Union Carbide Corporation	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY AUG 01 2013 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By  Deputy
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Alameda STREET ADDRESS: 201 13th Street, Department 30 MAILING ADDRESS: 201 13th Street, Department 30 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland, 94612 BRANCH NAME: Post Office Building	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Richard Luros and Barbara Luros DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Amcord, Inc. et al.	
<p style="text-align: center;">NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER</p> (Check one): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$25,000 or less)	CASE NUMBER: RG11600370

TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): July 22, 2013. Ex. A
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: August 1, 2013

Anne Cherry Barnett (SBN 227209)

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)



(SIGNATURE)

Michael R. Roberts (SBN 258769)

JA ERESIMV
ORIGINAL

A



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
Department 30**

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

To: Stephen Nichols Fax No. (415) 267-4198

From: Lynette Rushing
Department 30

Date: 07/24/12

Re: Luross vs. Amcord, Inc., et al. RG11 600370
Case Management Order

Total No. Of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 6

Urgent Service By Fax Please Comment Per Your Request Other

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY FACSIMILE

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was transmitted via facsimile to the parties and counsel as shown on this document, and that the facsimile of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at 201 13th Street, Oakland, California.

Executed on July 24, 2013

Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court

By Lynette Rushing
Deputy Clerk

Post Office Building
Department 30
201 13th Street ♦ Oakland, CA 94612
510-268-3104 ♦ 510-267-1510 (fax)

1 around June 10, 2013. Thereafter, witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence
2 and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted
3 to the jury with directions to return a verdict on the following issues. The jury deliberated and
4 thereafter returned into court with its verdict as follows:

5 **SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

6 We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following SPECIAL VERDICT on the
7 questions submitted to us:

8
9 Question No. 1. Was Richard Luros exposed to asbestos manufactured, distributed or sold
10 by Union Carbide Corporation?

11 Yes _____ No **X**

12 If your response to this question is "Yes" then answer the next question. If your response
13 to this question is "No" answer no further questions, turn to page 5 and date and sign this Verdict
14 Form and notify the Court Attendant.

15
16
17 Question No. 2. Did Union Carbide Corporation's asbestos fail to perform as safely as an
18 ordinary consumer would have expected it to perform when used, or misused, in an intended or
19 reasonably foreseeable way?

20 Yes _____ No _____

21 If your response to this question is "Yes" then answer the next question. If your response
22 to this question is "No" then skip to Question No. 4.

23
24 Question No. 3. Was the failure to perform, as safely as an ordinary consumer would have
25 expected it to perform when used, or misused, in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way, a
26 substantial factor in causing Richard Luros' injury?

27 Yes _____ No _____

28

1 Answer the next question.

2 Question No. 4. Was there a failure to warn product defect regarding Union Carbide
3 Corporation's asbestos?

4 Yes _____ No _____

5
6 If your response to this question is "Yes" then answer the next question. If your response
7 to this question is "No" then skip to Question No. 6.

8 Question No. 5. Was the failure to warn product defect a substantial factor in causing
9 Richard Luros' injury?

10 Yes _____ No _____

11 Answer the next question.

12 Question No. 6. Was Union Carbide Corporation negligent?

13 Yes _____ No _____

14
15 If your response to this question is "Yes" then answer the next question. If your response
16 to this question is "No" AND you have also answered "No" to Question Nos. 2 or 3 AND
17 answered "No" to Question Nos. 4 or 5, answer no further questions. Turn to page 5 and date and
18 sign this Verdict Form and notify the Court Attendant.

19 Question No. 7. Was Union Carbide Corporation's negligence a substantial factor in
20 causing Richard Luros' injury?

21 Yes _____ No _____

22
23 If your response to this question is "Yes" then answer the next question. If your response
24 to this question is "No" AND you have also answered "No" to Question Nos. 2 or 3 AND
25 answered "No" to Question Nos. 4 or 5, answer no further questions. Turn to page 5 and date and
26 sign this Verdict Form and notify the Court Attendant.

27 Question No. 8. Was Richard Luros negligent?
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Yes _____ No _____

If your response to this question is "Yes" then answer the next question. If your response to this question is "No" then skip to Question No. 10.

Question No. 9. Was Richard Luros' negligence a substantial factor in causing his alleged injury?

Yes _____ No _____

Answer the next question.

Question No. 10. What are Richard Luros' TOTAL AMOUNT of economic damages, if any?

- (a) Past Medical Costs \$ _____
- (b) Future Medical Costs \$ _____
- (c) Loss of Wage Income \$ _____
- (d) Loss of Business Income \$ _____
- (e) Loss of Social Security Benefits \$ _____
- (f) Loss of Household Services \$ _____

Answer the next question.

Question No. 11. What are Richard Luros' TOTAL AMOUNT of non-economic damages, if any?

- (a) Past Non-Economic Damages \$ _____
- (b) Future Non-Economic Damages \$ _____

Answer the next question.

1 Question No. 12. Assuming that 100% represents the total fault for the injury, damage, loss
2 or harm to Richard Lueros, what percentage of this 100% is attributable to Union Carbide
3 Corporation and what percentage, if any, is attributable to others listed below?
4

5 Union Carbide Corporation _____ %
6 Richard Lueros _____ %
7 All Others _____ %

8 Answer the next question.

9 Question No. 13. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that an officer, director or
10 managing agent of Union Carbide Corporation acted with malice or oppression, as defined in the
11 jury instructions, in the conduct upon which you base your findings of liability?

12 Yes _____ No _____

13 It appearing by reason of said verdict that defendant Union Carbide Corporation is entitled
14 to judgment against plaintiff Richard Lueros.

15 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff
16 Richard Lueros take nothing from defendant Union Carbide Corporation. It is further ORDERED
17 that ~~defendant Union Carbide Corporation recover costs from plaintiff Richard Lueros in a sum to~~
18 ~~be determined.~~ This judgment may be amended to include ~~the amount of such recovery costs~~
19 *as provided by law.*

20 DATED: 7/22/13

21 
22 HONORABLE JO-LYNNE Q. LEE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PROOF OF SERVICE VIA LEXISNEXIS FILE & SERVE

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Francisco County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 101 California Street, 41st Floor, San Francisco, California 94111.

On August 1, 2013, I electronically served the document(s) via LexisNexis File & Serve described as:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the LexisNexis File & Serve website. I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and was executed on August 1, 2013, at San Francisco, California.


Rose Manabat